

## Dropping Ice Shelves onto an Ocean Model and Moving Grounding Lines Robert Hallberg NOAA / GFDL





### Projected Global Mean Sea Level Rise

Sources of uncertainty in 2100 global mean sea level projections: Forcing scenario (~20 cm range at 2100 for GFDL-CM2.1) Ocean heat storage (steric rise) (13 to 32 cm in IPCC AR4) Land ice (except ice sheets) (4 to 19 cm in IPCC AR4) Ice sheet surface mass balance (-10 to 4 cm in IPCC AR4) Dams & land water ±3 cm/century? (Lettenmaier & Milly, Nature Geo. 2009) Antarctic & Greenland Ice sheet dynamics changes – ? Plausible range 20 to 110 cm by 2100 (Pfeffer et al., Science 2008)

Reservoir sizes in Sea Level Rise equivalent: Mountain glaciers & ice caps -0.3 m Greenland Ice Sheet -7.3 m West Antarctic Ice Sheet (marine) -5 m East Antarctic Ice Sheet (land) -51.6 m (Uniform warming of ocean ~0.5 m °C<sup>-1</sup>)



Historical Forcing

GFDL-CM2.1 21<sup>st</sup> Century Projection of Sea Level Change

21st Century Projections

Plausible 1 m ice-sheet dynamics contribution to sea level rise



## What is needed to model ice-sheet dynamics contributions to global mean sea level rise?

- Ice sheet dynamics model, including the ability to simulate the rapidly flowing ice streams.
- 3. Ice shelf model, including calving of ice-bergs and collapse.
- 4. Model of the ocean circulation in the ice-shelf cavity.
- 5. Parameterizations or resolution of the eddy- and tidal- delivery of warm ocean water to the ice
   Shelf cavity.



Bamber et al., Science 2000; C. Rapley, British Antarctic Survey



#### Ocean ice-shelf interaction and sea-level rise.

- Surface melting of ice sheets is thought unlikely to contribute significant sea level rise.
- Ice shelves buttress most ice streams; in cases where these shelves disintegrated, the ice streams have accelerated.
- The grounding line determines where the ice starts to float; its location is set by a balance between ice flow and ocean heat flux driven melting.
- Parameterizations or resolution of the eddy- and tidal- delivery of warm ocean water to the ice shelf cavity may be critical for predicting how shelves evolve.









## Studies of the effects of ocean dynamics on

#### ice-shelf melting

We are actively working to put ice shelves into GOLD-based coupled models.

Studies to date have not used split time-stepping.

Goldberg, Little, & Sergienko - Melting shapes the shelf-bottom, the shelfbottom guides the flow and localizes melting. Shelf-structures emerge

spontaneously.





Little, Gnanadesikan and Hallberg, 2008- melt in large ice shelves concentrated in southeastregardless of bottom slope.



Little, Gnanadesikan and Oppenheimer, 2009- melt rate (contours) strongly controlled by *ice shelf* bottom.



#### Ce shelves - where water meets ice

- Ice shelves provide buttressing to ice sheets.
- Several shelves Antarctica have collapsed.
- The resulting acceleration of flow into the ocean is significant!



• Key question: what determines distribution/rate of ice shelf melt?

We are actively working to put ice shelves in GFDL's coupled models.



Little, Gnanadesikan and Hallberg, 2008- melt in large ice shelves concentrated in southeast- regardless of bottom slope.



Little, Gnanadesikan and Oppenheimer, 2009- melt rate (contours) strongly controlled by *ice shelf* bottom.

Further work (w. Goldberg/Sergienko) indicating that the melting also shapes the bottom!

# Baroclinic-barotropic split time stepping suitable for use with ice shelf cavities

• Transports used as input and output to the barotropic solver. The continuity solver is inverted to determine velocities.

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \overline{U} + M \qquad \qquad \overline{U} \left( \overline{u} \right) = \frac{1}{\Delta T} \int_0^{\overline{u} \Delta T} H(x) dx \qquad \qquad \overline{u}^n = \overline{U}^{-1} \left( \sum_k U_k^n \right)$$

 $u_k^{n+1} = \widetilde{u}_k^{n+1} + \Delta \overline{u}$  Find  $\Delta \overline{u}$  such that  $\sum_k U_k \left( \widetilde{u}_k^{n+1} + \Delta \overline{u} \right) = \overline{U}^{n+1}$ 

• Barotropic accelerations are treated as anomalies from the baroclinic state.  $\sum h^{\partial u}$ 

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial t} = -f\hat{k} \times (\overline{u} - \overline{u}_{Cor}) - \nabla \overline{g}(\eta - \eta_{PF}) - \frac{c_D (u_{Bot} \| + \| u_{Shelf} \|)}{\sum_k h_k} (\overline{u} - \overline{u}_{Drag}) + \frac{\sum_k h_k \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t}}{\sum_k h_k}$$

- Bottom and surface drag laws are treated implicitly.
- The barotropic continuity solver uses flow-dependent thicknesses which approximate the sum of the layer thickness transports.







#### An Ice Shelf Test Case



Ice shelf is released from an average of  $\sim 0.72$  m above its resting position, generating a tsunami.

This tests the circulation response to impeding gravity waves.







## So what's wrong with this?

- Ice shelves move with the tides, but do not exhibit breaking gravity waves!
- The short-term pressure at the bottom of an ice shelf is far from hydrostatic. Ice is rigid.
- Ice shelves resist bending.
- Ice is very viscous (viscosity  $\sim 10^9 10^{13} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ )

- In the ice 
$$\frac{dw}{dt} = -\rho g - \frac{dp}{dz} + \kappa \nabla^2 w + \hat{k} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \tilde{\tau})$$

- Approximate the surface pressure by  

$$p_{Sf \ cOcn} = p_{Atm} + \rho_{Ice} H_{Ice} g - \nabla \left( H_{Ice} \kappa \nabla \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)$$
or
$$p_{Sf \ cOcn} = p_{Atm} + \rho_{Ice} H_{Ice} g + H_{Ice} \lambda \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \qquad \lambda = \kappa / L^2$$













#### Driving an Ice Shelf Forward at 3 km /day





