## Dispersion of homogenized water in coastal areas Application to the Ushant Front

A. Pasquet, Y. Morel, R. Baraille

SHOM Toulouse, France



LOM Workshop, 02.08.2011







## **The Ushant Front**

**Environmental parameters:** Tides Atmospheric flux Topography



#### SST NOAA 09.20.2008



TIME : 28-SEP-2008 02:00

DATA SET: h20080928int



SST NOAA 09.28.2008



SST NOAA 07.15.2005

# The Ushant Front modeling

#### **Environmental parameters:** Tides Atmospheric flux Topography



#### **Configuration parameters:** Diapycnal mixing and barotropic current Stratification Bottom friction Sloping topography

Understanding of front extension mechanisms with MICOM (sensitivity studies such as in Schiller and Kourafalou, 2010)



## Efficiency of HYCOM to model the Ushant Front?

![](_page_2_Figure_7.jpeg)

PVÅ in mixed water layer, MICOM

#### **Reference experiments**

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Initial configuration parameters:** Diapycnal mixing:  $Kv = 0.005 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ Stratification:  $\Delta \varrho = 0.5 \text{ o/oo}$ No bottom friction (Cd = 0) Slope:  $\alpha = 0$  or 25/100000

## Baroclinic instability and frontal initial configurations

PVA ↔ mass flux in  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  layers (Haynes and Mac Intyre, 1987, 1990; Morel and Mac Williams, 2000)

Baroclinic instability production and hetons emergence from the ZMP (*Charney Stern Criterion; Morel and Mac Williams, 2000*)

#### **Production rate**

Continuous homogenization of the ZMP ruled byKvSize of structures of instability depending on $\Delta \varrho$ 

#### **Dispersion mechanisms**

Dipolar interactions Mirror effects (near vertical wall) Topographic beta effects Kelvin waves  $\alpha$ ,  $\Delta \varrho$ , Kv, Cdd (distance from wall)  $\alpha$ ,  $\Delta \varrho$  $\alpha$ 

![](_page_4_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_7.jpeg)

PVA in 2<sup>nd</sup> layer, centered (flat,slope)

PVA in 2<sup>nd</sup> layer, coastal (flat,slope)

#### **Dispersion assessment**

Properties to consider :

- Production rate
- Dispersion rate  $r_i(t) = \frac{1}{S_{ZMP}} \partial_t (V_2 + V_3)$
- Global rate of dispersion = time averaged  $r_i(t)$
- Preferential direction of dispersion mechanisms

Global dispersion rate  $r_{d} \sim 0.01 - 0.1 \text{ m/s}$ 

**Global dispersion** *Diapycnal mixing* 

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

Three regimes:

 $T \ll 1 \rightarrow Kv$  limits the dispersion rate, *sub productive regime* 

 $T \sim 1 \rightarrow$  Dispersion and production equilibrate, *efficient regime* 

 $T >> 1 \rightarrow$  dispersion mechanisms limits the dispersion rate, *auto restrictive regime* 

The diapycnal mixing impact on dispersion is limited by dispersive mechanisms ability to clear the ZMP from mixed water.

**Global dispersion** *Diapycnal mixing and bottom friction* 

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### *Most of the friction effect is reached for cd=0.0005, with half of the dispersion rate damped.*

#### **Global dispersion** *Stratification*

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

---- coastal-flat - e - coastal-slope ---- centered-flat - e - centered-slope

Increasing the stratification :

1. Enhances the production rate and the size of structures

2. Weakens the coupling between layers

2<sup>nd</sup> layer PVA, centered flat experiment

A stronger stratification favors dispersion mixed water.

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

## Dispersion of homogenized water Application to the Ushant Front in realistic configurations

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **HYCOM** parameters

32 layers Grid step: 1.7 km **KPP** Atmospheric forcing CEP **Nesting Mercator** 

09.20.2008

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Edge detection based on a Scharr method, on satellite images (top) and Hycom outputs (bottom)

#### Aim

1. Correlation between environmental forcings and different front dispersion patterns using HYCOM outputs

2. Assessing the impact of configuration parameters in HYCOM on the front edges

## Conclusion

Academical studies give information on the impact of realistic environmental parameters on the Ushant Front:

A sloping topography reduces dispersion and shapes the dispersed water in a plume that follows slope gradients

Strong tides have a limited impact on the front extension in areas where dispersive mechanisms are weak

The stratification strengthening in summer is necessary for the front to form and to develop.

Weak uncertainties on bottom friction parametrization can drastically impact the model efficiency to reproduce frontal dynamics.