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Data assimilation involves the **optimal combination of measurements** with the underlying **dynamical principles** governing the system under observation.

Data assimilation can serve several oceanographic objectives:
- Ocean state estimation in space & time (4D);
- Detection of model errors;
- Estimation of budgets & model parameters;
- Initialisation, prediction, monitoring;
- Optimal design of complex observation systems;
- ...

Theories: optimal **control** (VAR) and **optimal estimation** (Kalman)
## MERCATOR Assimilation Systems

- Incremental implementation strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research</th>
<th>OI</th>
<th>Kalman filters</th>
<th>3D/4D-VAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>1993 (SOFA)</td>
<td>1998 (SEEK)</td>
<td>1999 (OPAVAR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEV</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2008 ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2007 ?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SAM-1
- SAM-2
- SAM-3
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Methods/algorithms
- « Variational » vs. « Sequential » (Talagrand 1997, Ide et al., 1997)
- « Smoothers » vs. « Filters »
- « Global problem » vs. « sub-problems » (Schröter 2004)
2. Kalman Filter fundamentals

Problem definition

Notations: Ide et al. (1997)

\[ x_{i+1}^f = M x_i^a \]

- \( x_i^a \): estimation of the « true » state vector at time \( i \), dimension \( n \)
- \( x_{i+1}^f \): forecast of the state vector at time \( i+1 \), using the linear model \( M \)
- \( y_{i+1} \): observations available at time \( i+1 \), dimension \( p \)

How can the true state be best estimated from this prior information?
2. Kalman Filter fundamentals

Multivariate estimation

$\mathbf{y}_{i+1}$

incomplete data

Gulf Stream temperature

Isosurfaces:

$V=0.7 \text{ m/s}$

$T=10 \text{ degC}$

$x_{i+1}^f = \mathbf{M} x_i^a$

imperfect model
2. Kalman Filter fundamentals

Uncertainties & PDFs

\[ \epsilon_i = x_i^a - x_i^t : \text{error on state estimate at time } i ; \text{ unknown quantity, but assume} \]

\[ \epsilon_i \rightarrow N(0, P_i^a) \sim \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_i^{aT} P_i^{-1} \epsilon_i^a \right] \quad (1) \]

\[ \eta = M x_i^t - x_{i+1}^t : \text{error on model forecast between time } i \text{ and time } i+1 ; \text{ assume:} \]

\[ \eta \rightarrow N(0, Q) \sim \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \eta^T Q^{-1} \eta \right] \quad (2) \]
2. Kalman Filter fundamentals

Error diagram
2. Kalman Filter fundamentals

**Forecast error**

\[
M \varepsilon_i^a = M x_i^a - M x_i^f = x_{i+1}^f - (x_{i+1}^t + \eta) = \varepsilon_{i+1}^f - \eta
\]

Assuming pdf (1) and (2), model linearity and uncorrelated initial and modelling errors, the forecast error is distributed as:

\[
\varepsilon_{i+1}^f \rightarrow N(0, P_{i+1}^f) \sim \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i+1}^f \mathbf{P}_{i+1}^f \varepsilon_{i+1}^f \right] \quad (3)
\]

with

\[
\varepsilon_{i+1}^f = M \varepsilon_i^a + \eta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varepsilon_{i+1}^f \varepsilon_{i+1}^f = M \varepsilon_i^a \varepsilon_i^a + M^T \eta \eta^T
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{P}_{i+1}^f = M \mathbf{P}_{i}^a M^T + Q
\]

The estimation error is amplified by:
- unstable model dynamics \((M)\);
- modelling errors \((Q)\).
2. Kalman Filter fundamentals

Observations and errors

\[ y_{i+1} = H x_{i+1}^t + \varepsilon_{i+1}^o \] : observation available at time \( i + 1 \)

A probability distribution for the observation error is assumed:

\[ \varepsilon_{i+1}^o \rightarrow N(0, R) \sim \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i+1}^o T R^{-1} \varepsilon_{i+1}^o \right] \quad (5) \]
Using Bayes rule at time $i+1$:

$$P(x_{i+1}^t|y_{i+1}) = \frac{\underbrace{P(y_{i+1}|x_{i+1}^t)} \cdot \underbrace{P(x_{i+1}^t)} }{P(y_{i+1})}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$P(x_{i+1}^t). P(y_{i+1}|x_{i+1}^t) \sim$$

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} (x_{i+1}^f - x_{i+1}^t)^T P_{i+1}^{-1} (x_{i+1}^f - x_{i+1}^t)\right] \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} (y_{i+1} - H x_{i+1}^t)^T R^{-1} (y_{i+1} - H x_{i+1}^t)\right]$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (x_{i+1}^f - x_{i+1}^t)^T P_{i+1}^{-1} (x_{i+1}^f - x_{i+1}^t) + (y_{i+1} - H x_{i+1}^t)^T R^{-1} (y_{i+1} - H x_{i+1}^t) \right\}\right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

The best estimate of $x_{i+1}^t$ is the value of $x$ which maximize (7), i.e. the minimum of:

$$J(x) = (x_{i+1}^f - x)^T P_{i+1}^{-1} (x_{i+1}^f - x) + (y_{i+1} - H x)^T R^{-1} (y_{i+1} - H x)$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)
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Kalman gain

\[ \delta_x J(x) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = x_{i+1}^f + P_{i+1}^f H^T R^{-1} (y_{i+1} - H x) \quad (9) \]

Equation (9) can be solved for \( x \) using simple algebra (*) , leading to:

\[ x = x_{i+1}^f + P_{i+1}^f H^T (H P_{i+1}^f H^T + R)^{-1} (y_{i+1} - H x_{i+1}^f) \]

Kalman gain = \( K_{i+1} \)

Note: the forecast and analysis equations can be extended to weakly non-linear models \( M \) and observation operator \( H \).

(*) Hint : use matrix equality \[
\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 + X_{12} & X_{21} & X_{2} \\ X_{2} & X_{12} & X_2 \\ \end{array} \right]^{-1} = X_1^{-1} - X_1^{-1} X_{12} \left[ X_2 + X_{21} X_1^{-1} X_{12} \right]^{-1} X_{21} X_1^{-1}
\]
2. Kalman Filter fundamentals

Assimilation cycle (« sub-problem »)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{Forecast:} & & x_{i+1}^f &= M(x_i^a) \\
& & P_{i+1}^f &= MP_i^aM^T + Q
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{Analysis:} & & K_{i+1} &= P_{i+1}^fH^T(HP_{i+1}^fH^T + R)^{-1} \\
& & x_{i+1}^a &= x_{i+1}^f + K_{i+1}(y_{i+1} - H(x_{i+1}^f)) \\
& & P_{i+1}^a &= (I - K_{i+1}H)P_{i+1}^f
\end{aligned}
\]
Sequential assimilation = repeated forecast/analysis cycles

The best estimate at a given time is influenced by all previous observations (Kalman «filter»), and the analysis error covariance reflects the competition between this accumulation of past information and the error growth due to model imperfections.
3. Applied ocean data assimilation: the art of making successful simplifications

« The scientific difficulty of data assimilation is to find algorithms which simplify the BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimation) to an affordable amount of computer resources, while preserving some of the essential characteristics. »

Courtier

3. Applied ocean data assimilation

Model complexity

- **Model variables in HYCOM(*)**
  - temperature, salinity, velocity, layer thicknesses, sea-surface height (SSH)

(*) ocean circulation model developed at Univ. Miami (RSMAS, E. Chassignet)
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

**State vector dimension**

- **HYCOM state vector** $\mathbf{x}$: 3D grid of the 5 scalar model variables + 2D grid for SSH

- **R&D prototype**: $1/3^\circ$ horizontal resolution, 19 hybrid layers
  
  $n \sim 5 \times 350 \times 350 \times 19 \sim 1.1 \times 10^7$

  **Operational prototype**: $1/12^\circ$ horizontal resolution, 26 hybrid layers

  $n \sim 5 \times 1400 \times 1400 \times 26 \sim 2.5 \times 10^8$

- **$M$ operator**: dim $n \times n \sim 6 \times 10^{16}$ real (i.e. $\sim$ 6000 Earth Simulators !)

- The state vector dimension can be huge
- The model transition matrix « $M$ » cannot be represented explicitly.
- Instead, a computer code is used to transition « $\mathbf{x}$ » from time $i$ to $i+1$
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

Space observations

- **Observed variables:**
  - *from space:* sea-surface height (SSH), sea-surface temperature (SST)
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

Space observations

- One week of altimeter data
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

In situ observations

- **Observed variables:**
  - *in situ*: T/S profiles (drifting floats, field campaigns, …)

ARGO, July 2004
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

Observations

- **Observation vector** $y$: data from various sources, at different time and space resolution

- **Radar Altimetry**: along-track measurements of SSH anomalies
  (JASON: 1 obs. / 7 km, ~ 300 km equatorial tracks separation, repeated every 10 days; ERS/ENVISAT: ~ 80 km equatorial tracks separation, repeated every 35 days)

- **AVHRR SST**: weekly composite images at 4 km resolution (if no clouds)

- **ARGO flots**: 3° x 3° horizontal resolution (targetted), profiles (between 2000 m depth to surface) every 10 days with 1 obs / m along vertical

- $p = \dim y$ is much smaller than $n = \dim x$: too few observations!

- The ocean surface relatively well observed by satellites: vertical extrapolation of data assimilated at the surface into the ocean’s interior has to be consistent with vertical data profiles

- The observed variables are closely related to model variables: $H$ is mainly an interpolation operator (~ simple)
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

**Error covariance matrix**

- **Specification of error covariance matrix** $P_0^a$?

- Assume a background state $x_0$ and associated error covariance $P_0$. Consider the analysis step with only one data $y$ at a model grid point and the associated observation error $\varepsilon$.

  - $p = 1$, $y$ is a scalar and $H$ is a vector of the form $H = [0,...,0,1,0,...,0]$
  
  - The Kalman gain is then a $(n \times 1)$ vector:
    
    $$K = P_0 H^T (HP_0 H^T + R)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(p_{\eta \eta} + \varepsilon^2)} P_0 \eta \text{ with } p_{\eta \eta} = \{P_0\}_{\eta \eta}$$

  - The posterior estimate is a correction of the background using the $\eta$–column of $P_0$
    
    $$\hat{x} = x_0 + \frac{1}{(p_{\eta \eta} + \varepsilon^2)} \{P_0\}_\eta (\eta - \eta_0) \text{ with } \eta_0 = \{x_0\}_\eta$$
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

**Horizontal covariance structures**

**Example:** Horizontal covariance relative to a SSH ($\eta$) point at (32°N, 70°W)


*Influence function of a single altimeter measurement in the sub-tropical gyre*
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

**Vertical covariance structures**

- Multivariate representers in 3D space, showing covariance structures consistent with the model dynamics

Representer functions of SSH in a free-surface coastal model *(Echevin et al., JPO, 2000)*
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

**Multivariate covariance structures**

Example: covariance relative to a SSH ($\eta$) point at ($0^\circ,144^\circ W$) - Weaver et al. (2003)

- The rows/columns of $\mathbf{P}$ should be « balanced » dynamically.
- This requires multivariate covariances
- A full-rank representation of $\mathbf{P}$ (dim $n \times n$) is still impossible!
3. Applied ocean data assimilation

**Model errors**

- **Model variability differs from observed variability**

EKE example:

- Many different model error sources (finite discretizations, representation of bottom topography, atmospheric forcings, etc ...) which cannot be easily quantified in terms of a \( Q \) matrix
3. Applied ocean data assimilation
Systematic model errors

- Model mean SSH differs from observed mean SSH

Mean sea-level difference between Pacific and Atlantic systematically too small in the model

OPA model (Madec et al. 2003)  Data (Niiler et al., 2003)

Optimality properties of the KF only in the absence of biases!
4. Simplifications of the Kalman Filter

Sub-optimal filters

- « Optimal analysis is obtained when ...the statistics ...of error fields associated with forecasts and observations are known and accurately specified. Since these statistics are not generally available, actual implementations of assimilation algorithms are always sub-optimal»

Dee and Da Silva

Error dynamics:
- The forecast error requires ~ $n$ model integrations !!!

$$P_{i+1}^f = MP_i^a M^T + Q = M(MP_i^a)^T + Q$$

- The ~ $n$ model integrations are useless if $Q$ is poorly known
Simplification of the Kalman filter: « Optimal Interpolation »

To save cost and memory requirements, the KF can be simplified drastically by using time-independent « background » covariance matrix

\[ P_{i+1}^f = B = D^{1/2} \ C \ D^{1/2} \]

- \( C \) : expressed as a product of horizontal and vertical correlations

\[ \{C\}_{i,j} = c^h(l) \cdot c^v(d) \]

- Example: \( c^h(l) = \left(1 + al + \frac{1}{3} a^2 l^2\right) e^{-al} \)
4. Simplifications of the Kalman Filter

**Optimal Interpolation – SAM1**

- Meridional and zonal correlation scales prescribed in SAM1
Steady-state filters \textit{(Fukumori et al., 1993)}

The KF can be simplified using a time-independent covariance matrix computed as the asymptotic limit of the Riccati equation:

\[
P_{i+1}^f = M \left\{ P_i^f - P_i^f H^T \left[ H P_i^f H^T + R \right]^{-1} H P_i^f \right\} M^T + Q
\]
The same model with the same set of observations can provide different sequences of "optimal estimates", depending on the "target" field!

- Let \( M \) : « perfect eddy-resolving » ocean model
  - \( y \) : « perfect filament-resolving » ocean observations
Assimilation of sub-grid scale data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target = eddies (~ 50 km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\[
P_{i+1}^f = M P_i^a M^T + 0
\]

\[
K_{i+1} = P_{i+1}^f H^T (HP_{i+1}^f H^T + R^\varphi)^{-1}
\]

\[R^\varphi: \text{representativeness error due to the observation of filaments}\]
Example: scalar, linear model \( x_{i+1}^f = mx_i^a \)

- Target = model resolution
- KF equations

\[
p_{i+1}^f = mp_i^a m + 0 = m^2 p_i^a
\]

\[
k_{i+1} = p_{i+1}^f (p_{i+1}^f + r^\varphi)^{-1} = m^2 p_i^a (m^2 p_i^a + r^\varphi)^{-1} \quad (0 < k_{i+1} < 1)
\]

\[
p_{i+1}^a = (1 - k_{i+1}) p_{i+1}^f = r^\varphi m^2 p_i^a (m^2 p_i^a + r^\varphi)^{-1} \quad (0 < p_{i+1}^a < r^\varphi)
\]
Example: scalar, linear model \[ x_{i+1}^f = m x_i^a \]

- Target = observation resolution
- KF equations

\[
\begin{align*}
    p_{i+1}^f &= m p_i^a m + q^\phi = m^2 p_i^a + q^\phi \\
    k_{i+1} &= p_{i+1}^f (p_{i+1}^f + 0)^{-1} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{i+1}^a = x_{i+1}^f + k_{i+1} (y_{i+1} - x_{i+1}^f) = y_{i+1} \\
    p_{i+1}^a &= (1 - k_{i+1}) p_{i+1}^f = 0
\end{align*}
\]
Example: scalar, linear model \( x_{i+1}^f = mx_i^a \)

- Numerical example: \( m = \sqrt{2} \) \( q^\phi = r^\phi = \varepsilon \)

**Target = model resolution**

\[
p^a = 0.5 \varepsilon \\
p^f = \varepsilon
\]

**Target = data resolution**

\[
p^a = 0 \\
p^f = \varepsilon
\]
Example: scalar, linear model \[ x_{i+1}^f = m x_i^a \]

- Misleading implementation: \( q^\varphi = r^\varphi = 0 \)
- Initialization: \( x_0, p_0 \)
A full Kalman filter cannot be implemented into realistic ocean models (error forecast and analysis equations too expensive in CPU and memory requirements)

« Optimal Interpolation » over-simplifies the propagation of errors by neglecting dynamical principles and statistical information

**Idealized double-gyre model** (Ballabrera et al., 2001)