Long-term variability of the South China Sea mixed layer salinity over the past six decades
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Abstract

A recently assembled South China Sea Physical Oceanographic Dataset (SCSPOD) provides the first observational evidence for mixed layer salinity changes in the South China Sea (SCS) from 1960 to 2015. During this period, the mixed layer waters freshened by 0.22 psu. The mixed layer salinity variability is found to be in sync with the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO); it freshened in the 1960s, started to salinify in 1974, freshened again from 1993, and then salinified once again from 2012, with linear trends of −0.019, 0.020, and −0.024 psu/yr, respectively. A box-average salinity budget analysis shows that the surface forcing, horizontal advection, and vertical entrainment terms together can, to a large degree, explain the observed trend in mixed layer salinity. The mixed layer freshening is driven by weakened surface fresh water loss and saline water transport, while salinification is associated with enhanced surface freshwater loss and salt transport through the Luzon Strait. The long-term mixed layer salinity changes affect the stratification, inducing a thinner mixed layer and stronger barrier layer during freshening periods that favor stronger regional ocean–atmosphere interaction.
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1. Introduction

The global water cycle is a key element of the climate system, yet it is poorly understood primarily because most of it occurs over the vast and under-sampled oceans (Schmitt, 1995; 2008). There is, however, ample evidence from salinity observations and numerical results from climate models indicating that the water cycle has changed over the past six decades (Wong et al., 1999; Munk, 2003) and that it has intensified (Durack et al., 2012).

Ocean salinity is globally conserved and quantification of its variability is essential to understanding the linkages between the water cycle and climate change (Curry et al., 2003; Boyer et al., 2005; Schmitt and Blair, 2015). Salinity measurements are used to diagnose changes in important components of the earth climate dynamics, such as surface freshwater flux, freshwater transport, and ocean mixing (Lukas and Lindstrom, 1991; Wijffels et al., 1992; Dickson et al. 2002; Li et al., 2016ab). Robust and spatially coherent trends in salinity are found in the global ocean, where surface salinity increases are observed in evaporation-dominated regions and decreases are observed in precipitation-dominated regions (Durack et al., 2010; Skliris et al., 2014).

An abundance of historical records combined with recent observations from various programs have been used to document salinity changes throughout the globe. Long hydrographic records show that the salinity changes in the North Atlantic can be
associated with significant changes in the North Atlantic Oscillation index (Dickson et al., 2002; Häkkinen, 2002; Curry et al., 2003; Holliday et al., 2008; Sarafanov et al., 2008). Combined surface measurements document Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO)-like signals in sea surface salinity in the tropical Pacific (Delcroix et al., 2007; Du et al., 2015; Nan et al., 2015). Recent observations in the Southern Indian Ocean show a fast freshening since 1995 with a particularly striking acceleration since 2006 (Anilkumar et al., 2015; Menezes et al., 2017; Du et al., 2015).

The South China Sea (SCS) is the largest tropical marginal sea and has one of the lowest average surface salinity levels (~33 psu) (Zeng et al., 2014). It is located in the Indo-Pacific Ocean, identified by Durack et al. (2012) as one of the areas that experienced the most significant freshening during the 1950-2000 period. The temperature and salinity variations and controlling factors in the SCS are remarkably different from those in the open ocean. The South China Sea Throughflow (SCSTF) connects the Pacific and Indian Oceans, acts as an oceanic bridge, and strongly affects the heat and freshwater budgets in the SCS (Qu et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2012; Gordon et al., 2012). The SCSTF consists of inflow through the Luzon Strait and outflows through the Karimata, Mindoro, and Taiwan Straits, respectively (Figure 1). The large quantity of saline water brought through the Luzon Strait by the SCSTF can contribute as much salinity variations in the SCS as the local freshwater flux.

Due to the limited amount of observations, only a few studies have focused on
salinity changes in the SCS and most of the attention has been on the northern SCS (Liu et al., 2012; Nan et al., 2013, 2016; Zhao et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2014, 2016). Nan et al. (2013, 2016) showed that the freshening in the northeastern SCS in the 1990s and 2000s was associated with a weakening trend of the Kuroshio intrusion. Zeng et al. (2014) also found that the extreme freshening event in the northern SCS during 2012 was caused by a weak Kuroshio intrusion. Year 2012 is also when a 20-year freshening trend was reversed (Zeng et al., 2018). Decadal variability has been documented for subsurface salinity in the northern SCS during 1960 and 2012 (Zeng et al., 2016a). Finally, Liu et al. (2012) and Zhao et al. (2014) showed decadal changes in intermediate waters along 18°N in the northern basin (Liu et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2014). However, very little is actually known about the decadal and long-term variability for the SCS as a whole.

In this paper, we analyze a recent observational dataset with the aim of 1) understanding the decadal and longer-term upper salinity changes in the SCS over the past six decades and 2) assessing the factors that contribute to these changes using a box-average mixed layer salinity budget analysis. The paper is organized as follows. The data and variables used to compute the budget are presented in section 2. The observed changes in salinity over the period 1960–2015 and the possible influence of the PDO are presented in section 3. In section 4, the box-average mixed layer salinity budget and the possible factors controlling variations in the mixed layer salinity are documented. Finally, conclusion and discussions are given in section 5.
2. Data and variables

2.1 In situ observational dataset

The South China Sea Physical Oceanographic Dataset (SCSPOD14) consists of validated in situ observations collected from the World Ocean Database 2009 (WOD09), Argo floats, and the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology (SCSIO) measurements for the period 1919–2014 (Zeng et al., 2016b). This dataset has been updated by adding quality-controlled Argo float and SCSIO cruise measurements from 2015 (hereafter, SCSPOD15). Details of the data sampling characteristics, processing method, and quality control of this dataset can be found in Zeng et al. (2016b). We focus on the 1960-2015 period because the spatial and temporal coverage of the observations is dense enough to document variability. Overall, 34,485 records located deeper than 50 m within the well-sampled region (107–121°E, 3–23°N) are used for the analysis (Figure 2a). The spatial distribution of the observations as a function of longitude is shown in Figure 2b, as well as their sources: WOD09, Argo, and SCSIO. There are no salinity observations in SCSPOD15 for the year 2003, and several years in the mid-1960s have few observations. The interior basin (110–120°E) is sampled quite well with only a few years of poor data coverage. However, in the region west of 110°E, the sampling is quite sparse after the mid-1990s. The mixed layer depth, mixed layer salinity, and barrier layer thickness are calculated for each profile as described in detail by Zeng et al. (2016b).

2.3 Variables
To assess the impact of the air-sea freshwater flux \((E-P - R\), positive freshwater flux indicates loss of freshwater from the ocean), we use the evaporation data from the Objectively Analyzed air–sea Fluxes (OAFlux; Yu and Weller, 2007) together with four precipitation products: the Precipitation Reconstruction (PREC; Chen et al., 2002), the National Centers for Environmental Prediction’s Climate Prediction Center (CPC; Chen et al., 2002), the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP; Adler et al., 2003), and the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM; Huffman et al., 2007). The four net freshwater \(E-P\) flux datasets are hereafter referred to as PRECflux, CPCflux, GPCPflux, and TRMMflux, respectively. The Mekong and Pearl river runoffs are estimated from the river-basin-integrated precipitation as in Zeng et al. (2014).

To assess the impact of the horizontal salt transport, ocean currents from several products are used. They include the Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (SODA) reanalysis data from 1960 to 2012 (Carton et al., 2008), the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Global Ocean Data Assimilation System (GODAS) reanalysis data from 1980 to 2012 (Huang et al., 2010), reanalysis data from 1993 to 2015 from the Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM) data assimilative system GOFS 3.1 (Chassignet et al., 2009; Metzger et al., 2014), quasi global OGCM for the Earth Simulator (OFES) hindcast data from 1960 to 2010 (Sasaki et al., 2008), and West Pacific (including the SCS) hindcast data from 1992 to 2015 using the Regional Ocean Modeling Systems (ROMS; Xiu et al., 2010).
Finally, to assess the vertical entrainment, we use NCEP wind stress, OFES vertical velocity outputs, and mixed layer depth calculated from SCSPOD15 profiles.

3. Observed features

3.1 Salinity change between 1960 and 2015 (56 years)

We start by first looking at the long-term salinity change in the upper 250 m from 1960 to 2015 (56 years). The longitudinally averaged salinity change in the SCS for the upper 250 m was obtained using SCSPOD15 and its variability is displayed in Figure 3a. Between 1960 and 2015, the salinity in the upper 50 m is marked by a significant long-term decrease in salinity (0.22 psu), with an averaged trend of -0.20 psu/50yr (or -0.004 psu/yr). This freshening trend can extend as far down as 100 m in the western SCS. In the east, the freshening near the Luzon Strait is even deeper extending as far down as 250 m. Below the mixed layer (~50 m), there is an apparent subsurface salinification beneath 100 m in the central basin between 110°E and 118°E. Overall, the basin-wide averaged salinity shows that the SCS experienced a significant freshening in the top 100 m and weak salinification in the subsurface layers (Figure 3b). Regions where the freshening magnitude exceeds 0.004 psu/yr are limited to the mixed layer waters.

The linear trends in mixed layer salinity are calculated on 2°×2° bins and are displayed in Figure 4. The crosses indicate the bins in which the computations of trends are not reliable using a Mann-Kendall test. Overall, the mixed layer salinity in the SCS has been decreasing over the past 56 years, with an averaged trend of -0.15
psu/50yr (or -0.003 psu/yr). The freshening trend is stronger in the northern part of the basin than in the southern basin. In the northeastern region, the long-term freshening trend is about -0.175 psu/50yr. This trend is comparable to the value east of the Luzon Strait reported by Durack et al. (2012), i.e., -0.15 to -0.20 psu over a 50-year period (1950–2000).

3.2 Decadal variability

The decrease in mixed layer salinity between 1960 and 2015 is not necessarily linear; freshening during one time period could alternate with salinification during another. To explore the decadal variability, we first show in Figure 5 the temperature-salinity (T–S) diagram averaged basin-wide for each of the six decades. These T–S curves are an effective way to distinguish freshening or salinification periods (decades) from the climatological mean conditions. They show that the SCS has experienced significant decadal variability over the past six decades. The upper ocean salinity is highest in the 1990s and lowest in the 2010s. The great salinification of the 1990s also occurred in the Atlantic, tropical Pacific, and Indian Ocean (Curry et al., 2003; Delcroix et al., 2007; Skliris et al., 2014). These decadal differences can be seen in all of the datasets (WOD09, SCSIO, and Argo) that comprise SCSPOD15. Different datasets show important similarities in the decadal changes over the past sixty years.

To further illustrate the variability of the salinity in the SCS, in Figure 6a we plot yearly variations of basin-wide averaged salinity for the upper 250 m from 1960 to
2015. The upper ocean started to freshen in the 1960s and continued through the mid-1970s. This was followed by a short salinification period in the late 1970s, then freshening again until the mid-1990s. Significant freshening occurred yet again in the 2010s. This variability, which can be as high as 0.4 psu, is clearly visible in the salinity anomaly plot (Figure 6b), with phases of high salinity in the 1960s and mid-1990s and low salinity in the mid-1970s and the 2010s. The salinity anomalies can extend down from the surface to about 200 m, but the highest anomalies with amplitude of up to 0.4 psu are mostly confined to the mixed layer. We therefore now focus on the mixed layer salinity variability.

3.3 Mixed layer salinity variability

Figure 7 shows longitude–time sections of mixed layer salinity from 1960 to 2015 averaged between 3°N and 23°N. There is a striking difference between the SCS and Pacific waters east of 121°E. The mixed layer salinity in the SCS is significantly lower than that of Pacific waters. As discussed in the previous section, the mixed layer salinity underwent freshening in the 1970s, salinification during the 1980s and 1990s (~0.4 psu), and then freshening again. The lowest salinity was recorded in 2012 (Zeng et al., 2014). This is summarized by Figure 8, which shows the time evolution of the basin-wide mixed layer salinity, including the one standard errors. The error bar is estimated as the standard error of all mixed layer salinity values for a given calendar year. The seven-year band pass time series (in blue) can be divided into four periods separated by three mixed layer salinity minima and maxima: 1974 (the
The observed change in mixed layer salinity is first a decrease of about −0.4 psu during 1960–1974. The mixed layer salinity then increases by ~0.6 psu between 1974 and 1993, followed by a sharp decrease of ~0.7 psu between 1993 and 2012. It increases again after 2012. The corresponding linear trends are −0.020, 0.019, and −0.024 psu/yr, about one order of magnitude higher than the 56-year long-term trend (−0.004 psu/yr). All trends reported here are statistically significant according to the t-test. The salinity change rates in the mixed layer are about two to three times higher than those reported for the subsurface layer by Zeng et al (2016a).

To explore the regional differences in mixed layer salinity variability, yearly variations in the mixed layer salinity anomaly averaged over six well-sampled regions are shown in Figure 9. The decadal timescale variability is similar for each region with a salinification period that is slightly more noticeable in the northern basin than in the southern basin.

### 3.4 Mixed layer salinity variability and the PDO

As the largest marginal sea in the northwest Pacific Ocean, the climate and environment of the SCS are strongly influenced by the PDO. For example, a coral geochemistry record in the northern SCS was reported to be significantly correlated with the PDO index over the last century (Deng et al., 2013). In Figure 10, we superimpose the mixed layer salinity anomaly on the PDO index and find that there is
a reasonably good agreement between the two curves.

The correlation between yearly mean mixed layer salinities and the PDO index is 0.45 at the 95% confidence level. Their correlation is much higher after the 1990s than prior. The freshening periods generally coincide with a declining stage of the PDO index, while the salinification periods are associated with an ascending stage. The largest change in PDO index and mixed layer salinity occurs after 2012 when both the mixed layer salinity and the PDO index rise quickly.

4. Factors controlling variations in the mixed layer salinity

What are the reasons for the salinification and freshening in the SCS mixed layer salinity? In general, factors that can cause the mixed layer salinity changes include a) net air-sea freshwater flux, b) the Luzon Strait transport induced horizontal salt advection, and c) vertical entrainment and small-scale mixing processes. In this section, we focus on the change in the surface freshwater flux and the surface current during salinification/freshening periods (4.1); we then provide a more quantitative assessment for each factor that contributes to the observed salinity change (4.2 and 4.3).

4.1 Dry/wet conditions during salinification/freshening periods

Figure 11a displays the spatial distribution of the long-term mean net surface freshwater flux (color shading) based on the GPCP and mixed layer circulation (vectors) based on the OFES model simulation. We use the GPCPflux dataset and the OFES surface velocities because all of the datasets introduced in section 2 are...
relatively consistent with each other. Over the 56-year period (Figure 11a), evaporation is lower than precipitation in the SCS, except to the southwest of Taiwan. There is also a clear signature of the Kuroshio intrusion across the Luzon Strait in the SCS circulation.

Figures 11b-c show the change in the surface freshwater flux and the mixed layer current for a salinification period (1974-1993) and a freshening period (1993-2012). During the 1974-1993 salinification period (Figure 11b), the increasing trend of freshwater loss dominates almost everywhere, except for the central northern SCS where the freshwater flux is negative. In the surface circulation, there is an anomalous westward flow trend east of the Luzon Strait (red vectors, Figure 11b) that, according to Yu and Qu (2013), is an indication of a northward shift of the North Equatorial Current (NEC) bifurcation, suggesting a stronger Kuroshio intrusion or larger Luzon Strait transport. During the 1993-2012 freshening period (Figure 11c), the net freshwater flux and ocean current distribution are opposite to that of the 1974-1993 salinification period. There is a decreasing trend of net freshwater loss across almost the entire basin and the eastward flow trend east of the Luzon Strait is unfavorable for Kuroshio intrusion (black vectors, Figure 11c). In summary, the trends of enhanced (decreased) freshwater loss and Luzon Strait transport provide salinification (freshening) conditions during a salinification (freshening) period.

Previous studies have shown that the PDO has an important influence on Asian monsoon and monsoon precipitation. The PDO can either strengthen or weaken the
Walker circulation over the Indo-Pacific Ocean depending on the phase of the PDO (Krishnamurthy and Krishnamurthy, 2014). For the SCS, during positive PDO phases the descending motion of the Walker circulation leads to drought conditions over the basin, while during negative phases the ascending motion brings heavy rainfall to the SCS. The net freshwater loss is generally above average during the ascent PDO stage and below average during the declining PDO stage, with exceptions occurring during the mid-1990s and 2000s (Figure 12). Du et al. (2015) also reported a reduction in freshwater loss in the southeastern tropical Indian Ocean starting from the mid-1990s due to intensified Walker circulation. Yu and Qu (2013) found a significant imprint of the PDO on decadal SCSTF variability. They indicated that during positive PDO phases, the NEC bifurcation shifts northward and is responsible for the southward intrusion of the Aleutian low, leading to a weaker Kuroshio and stronger SCSTF in the upper 750 m. As shown in Figure 12, we find that the Luzon Strait transport integrated within the mixed layer is also closely related to the PDO index and, in the previous section, we showed that the averaged SCS mixed layer salinity variations are in sync with the PDO.

4.2 Box-average mixed layer salinity budget

In this section, we address whether the contribution of freshwater flux and Luzon Strait salt transport changes can fully account for the observed mixed layer salinity variations. In order to quantify the factors affecting the mixed layer salinity in the SCS, we perform a mixed layer salinity budget:
\[
\Delta S_m = \frac{S_0(E-P-R)A_{SCS}}{V_{SCS}} + \frac{T_{in}\Delta S_{in}}{V_{SCS}} - \frac{T_{out}\Delta S_{out}}{V_{SCS}} - \frac{\Gamma(w_e)(S_m-S_b)}{H} + \epsilon
\]  

From left to right, the terms correspond to mixed layer salinity variations; surface forcing (loss from ocean defined as positive); horizontal advection term (defined as positive into the SCS), which contain advections into (second term on right side) and out of (third term) the basin; vertical entrainment; and a residual term, which includes diffusion and other small effects. Here, \(S_m\) is mixed layer salinity, \(S_o\) is the mean sea surface salinity, and \(A_{SCS}, H, \text{ and } V_{SCS}\) are the surface area, mixed layer depth, and volume of the SCS (111°–121°E, 16°–22°N), respectively. \(E\) is the evaporation, \(P\) is the precipitation, and \(R\) is the river discharge; their net value is the net freshwater flux out of the basin (loss from the ocean is defined as positive).

Accurately quantifying the horizontal advection over the entire basin is difficult. For a basin-wide study, the horizontal salinity transport can be represented by two components: inflow and outflow salt transport terms. Here, \(T_{in}\) and \(T_{out}\) are the volume transports into and out of the basin, respectively, and \(\Delta S_{in} (\Delta S_{out})\) is the salinity difference between waters outside the inflow (outflow) straits and waters within the SCS, where a positive transport term means an enhanced salinity effect. As mentioned earlier, the exchange between the SCS and surrounding oceans consists mainly of inflow from the Kuroshio through the Luzon Strait, and outflow primarily through the Mindoro, Karimata, and Taiwan Straits (Yaremchuk et al., 2009). Because of the small salinity contrast across the outflow straits, we disregard the outflow transport terms when performing the budget.
The vertical processes contain vertical Ekman velocity and diapycnal mixing velocity (Michel et al., 2007). Following Michel et al. (2007) and Yu (2015), we have

\[ w_e = w_{Ek} + w_m = \frac{\nabla \times \tau}{\rho_f} + \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot HU \right) \]  

(2)

where \( \tau \) denotes wind stress, \( \rho \) the mixed layer density, \( f \) the Coriolis frequency, and \( U \) includes Ekman and geostrophic current. The Ekman velocity \( w_{ek} \) corresponds to the upwelling (downwelling) generated by the convergence (divergence) of the horizontal Ekman transport (Yu, 2011). The mixing velocity \( w_m \), or the mixed layer depth tendency, can be influenced by wind, buoyancy, and other thermodynamic processes. In Eq. (1), \( \Gamma \) is the Heaviside function and \( w_e \) is the entrainment velocity at the bottom of the mixed layer; \( S_b \) is defined as the salinity at 20 m below the mixed layer depth (Ren et al., 2011); \( \Gamma \) is used to represent entrainment (\( w_e > 0 \)) and detrainment (\( w_e < 0 \)) to the mixed layer. Only the entrainment of subsurface water affects the mixed layer salinity; detrainment removes mixed layer water but does not modify its salinity (Niiler and Kraus, 1977; Michel et al., 2007; Yu, 2015).

Thus, we have a simplified expression for the box-average mixed layer salinity variation:

\[ \Delta S_m = \frac{S_0(E-P-R)A_{SCS}}{V_{SCS}} + \frac{\Gamma \Delta S_{lz} V_{SCS}}{V_{SCS}} - \frac{\Gamma (w_e)(S_m - S_b)}{H} + \epsilon \]  

(3)

where \( \Delta S_{lz} \) is the salinity difference between two sides of the Luzon Strait, the Western Pacific water east of the Luzon Strait (\( S_{WP} \)) and the SCS (\( S_{SCS} \)).

4.3 Factors controlling the mixed layer salinity variability
To quantify the impact of the uncertainties associated with different data products, we use several datasets (introduced in Section 2) for the freshwater flux and the Luzon Strait transport to calculate the contribution of the surface forcing and advection terms to the salinity budget. The time evolution of the budget terms are displayed in psu/yr in Figure 13. The surface forcing and the horizontal advection terms dominate and the vertical mixing is smaller by one order of magnitude. The trends for each term during the freshening and salinification periods, using the different datasets, are listed in Table 1.

During the 1960–1974 freshening period, the trends in the surface forcing, advection, and entrainment terms were $-0.011$, $-0.006$ and $-0.0003$ psu/yr, respectively. Their total contribution was about $-0.017$ psu/yr, roughly equivalent to the change in mixed layer salinity of $-0.015$ psu/yr. This result indicates that the surface forcing and advection terms basically determine the freshening trend. It also suggests that the effect of horizontal advection through the Luzon Strait is of similar magnitude to that of the surface forcing term. During the 1974–1993 salinification period, the surface forcing, advection, and entrainment terms all exhibit positive trends, with values of $0.016$, $0.004$, and $0.0013$ psu/yr, respectively. The sum of the three terms, $0.021$ psu/yr, is very close to the observed salinification trend of $0.023$ psu/yr. This salinification is driven by enhanced surface freshwater loss and salt transport through the Luzon Strait. In contrast to the 1960-1974 freshening period, the surface forcing term is the dominant factor contributing to this salinification trend.
After the year of maximum salinity (1993), the surface forcing, advection, and entrainment terms decrease again with negative trends of \(-0.010\), \(-0.010\), and \(-0.0008\) psu/yr, respectively. The total impact of \(-0.021\) psu/yr is close to the observed freshening trend of \(-0.025\) psu/yr. Similar as the 1960–1974 freshening period, the surface forcing and advection terms basically determine the 1993-2012 freshening period. Overall, though admittedly crude, this calculation is able to quantitatively account for most of the observed mixed layer salinity changes (Figure 13d). In summary, the mixed layer freshening is controlled by equal contributions from the surface forcing and advection terms, while the surface forcing is the dominant term for mixed layer salinification.

5 Conclusion and Discussions

In this paper, we examine the long-term variability of the mixed layer salinity in the SCS over the past 56 years (1960–2015) using an in situ dataset (SCSPOD15) to document the variability and a box-average salinity budget to quantify the factors controlling these variations.

The mixed layer salinity exhibits significant variability on decadal and longer timescales. During the 1960-2015 period, the mixed layer salinity freshens by more than 0.2 psu, with an averaged trend of -0.20 psu/50yr (or -0.004 psu/yr). This freshening trend is stronger in the northern basin than in the southern basin. The in situ observations in the SCS show that it becomes fresher in the 1960s, starts to salinify in 1974, freshens again from 1993, and then salinifies yet again in 2012, with
linear trends of –0.019, 0.020, and –0.024 psu/yr, respectively. These decadal salinity change rates in the mixed layer are about two to three times larger than those in the subsurface layer as reported by Zeng et al. (2016). We find that the long-term variability in mixed layer salinity is in sync with the PDO. During the ascent (declining) stage of the PDO, the ascending (descending) motion of the Walker circulation leads to flood (drought) conditions over the basin, along with less (more) intrusion of additional saline water by the Luzon Strait transport associated with a stronger (weaker) Kuroshio; this results in freshening (salinification) in the SCS (see schematic Figure 14).

Although the SCSPOD15 dataset provides unprecedented observational coverage in the SCS, there are still gaps and insufficient and uneven observations in some years. One of the largest uncertainties in the trends assessment comes from the assembled observational dataset. However, because we find that the observed mixed layer salinity variability is in good agreement with the variability derived from a box-average mixed layer salinity budget, we are confident that the trends reported here are representative. The mixed layer salinity budget analysis is then used to quantify the forcing factors controlling long-term changes in mixed layer salinity. The results show that the freshening period is associated with a reduction in both the surface freshwater loss and the Luzon Strait transport advection terms, while the salinification period is mostly controlled by enhanced surface freshwater loss. While we have assessed the uncertainty by utilizing as many surface forcing products and
ocean current outputs as possible (both with and without data assimilation), it is clear that the accuracy of different surface forcing products and the realism of the ocean model current outputs remains an issue.

Finally, the question of whether freshening or salinification can induce significant climate change in the SCS depends on the magnitude of the trends. In order to affect the climate and the thermohaline circulation, the salinity changes must be sufficiently large, exceeding a threshold (Manabe and Stouffer, 1995; Wu et al., 2004). Barreiro et al. (2008) showed that a freshwater input exceeding 0.3 Sv per decade (a model-dependent value) can weaken the thermohaline circulation in the North Atlantic. We are then led to ask what is the threshold that must be exceeded in the SCS to significantly influence its thermohaline circulation? In the SCS, changes in the mixed layer salinity regulates the mixed layer (Figure 1). The observed mixed layer salinity freshening or salinification trends could constructively contribute to a reduction or enhancement of the mixed layer density (Figure 1a). The shoaling or deepening of the mixed layer depth generally coincides with a freshening or salinification of the mixed layer salinity (Figure 1b). Variations in salinity stratification that form a barrier layer have an important influence on climate (Maes et al., 2002, 2005). For the SCS, there is no significant change in the barrier layer during the salinification, but a shoaling mixed layer depth is associated with a slight increase in the barrier layer during the two freshening periods (Figure 1c). A combination of the relatively shallow mixed layer and stronger barrier layer during the freshening
period could lead to a strengthening of the ocean–atmosphere coupling in the SCS. A realistic climate model and well-designed experiments are needed to answer these questions. Future studies could examine long-term changes in salinity, the threshold for major change, and detailed processes affecting the thermohaline circulation and climate change.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixed layer salinity trend</th>
<th>Surface forcing</th>
<th>Horizontal advection</th>
<th>Vertical entrainment</th>
<th>Sum of 3 terms</th>
<th>Observed trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960-1974</td>
<td>CPC:-0.014, PREC:-0.008, Mean:-0.011</td>
<td>SODA: -0.007, OFES: -0.006, Mean: -0.006</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1993</td>
<td>CPC:0.016, PREC:0.016, Mean:0.016</td>
<td>SODA: 0.002, OFES: 0.004, Mean: 0.003</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993-2012</td>
<td>CPC:-0.011, PREC:-0.010, GPCP:-0.009, TRMM:-0.013, Mean:-0.010</td>
<td>SODA: -0.005, OFES: -0.006, GODAS:-0.009, ROMS:-0.010, HYCOM:-0.017, Mean:-0.010</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. WOA13 mean surface salinity and OSCAR mean surface currents. Major currents in the SCS and adjacent waters are from Qu et al. (2006) and Hu et al. (2015), indicated by magenta lines. Abbreviations: SCS, South China Sea; NEC, North Equatorial Current; KC, Kuroshio Current.
Figure 2. (a) Spatial distributions of observations from the SCSPOD15 dataset. The blue box represents the study area (SCS; 107–121°E, 3–23°N). (b) Longitude–time sections for the 3–23°N band of observations. The three data sources are marked by different colors: WOD09 (blue dots), SCSIO (red dots), and Argo (green dots).
Figure 3. Vertical distributions of upper salinity change (psu/yr) from 1960 to 2015 in the SCS (basin area is defined as the region shown in Fig 2a). (a) Longitudinally average and (b) basin-wide average for the SCS. In order to compare with the trend identified by Durack et al. (2012), the unit is psu/50yr in this figure.
Figure 4. The linear trends in the mixed layer salinity calculated within each 2°×2° bins in the SCS. The cross delimits bins where the calculations of trends are not reliable in Mann-Kendall test. In order to compare with the trend identified by Durack et al. (2012), the unit is psu/50yr in this figure.
Figure 5. Decadal mean $T$-$S$ curves from 1960 to 2015 in the upper SCS (1960s: magenta; 1970s: blue; 1980s: black; 1990s: red; 2000s: green; 2010s: pink) based on,
(a) SCSPOD15; (b) WOD09; (c) SCSIO; (d) Argo.
Figure 6. Time-depth sections of basin-wide averaged yearly mean (a) salinity, and (b) salinity anomalies (positive salinity anomaly: red; negative salinity anomaly: blue) in the upper SCS.
Figure 7. Longitude–time sections of mixed layer salinity (color, psu) for the 3–23°N band from 1960 to 2015 in the SCS.
Figure 8. Time series of average yearly basin-wide mixed layer salinity from 1960 to 2015 in the SCS. Shading (light red) indicates error bars. The error bar is estimated as the standard error of all mixed layer salinity values for a given calendar year. The low-frequency curve (blue) represents the seven-year filtered values used to highlight long-term changes. The dashed line represents the linear least squares fit of the yearly values used to quantify linear trends (psu/yr). The gray shaded areas indicate turning points in 1974, 1993, and 2012.
Figure 9. (a) Spatial distributions of selected SCSPOD15 observations in the study area (107–121°E, 3–23°N) and six selected areas (boxes) used for spatial averages. (b) Time series of yearly mixed layer salinity averaged in the six areas from 1960 to 2015 in the SCS indicated by boxes in (a). The gray shaded areas indicate turning points in 1974, 1993, and 2012.
Figure 10. Time series of yearly PDO index (blue) and mixed layer salinity anomaly (red) in the SCS from 1960 to 2015. The gray shaded areas indicate turning points in 1974, 1993, and 2012. The blue and red dashed line represents the linear least squares fit of the yearly values used to quantify linear trends for PDO and mixed layer salinity anomaly, respectively.
Figure 11. (a) Long-term mean GPCP freshwater flux ($E-P$, shading, unit: mm/d) and OFES mixed layer circulation (vectors, unit: m/s). (b) Linear trend of GPCP freshwater flux (shading, unit: mm/d/yr) and OFES circulation from 1974 to 1993 (magenta vectors: westerly currents; black vectors: easterly currents; unit: m/s/yr). (c) Same as (b), but for the period 1993 to 2012.
Figure 12. Time series of yearly net freshwater flux ($E-P$, green, unit: mm/d), Luzon Strait transport (purple, unit: Sv) and yearly PDO index (light blue, PDO-2 is shown). The gray shaded areas indicate turning points in 1974, 1993, and 2012.
Figure 13. Spatial average of each term in equation (3) for the SCS (unit: psu/yr). (a) Net freshwater flux term (CPCflux: blue; PRECflux: magenta; GPCPflux: red; TRMMflux: black). (b) Luzon Strait transport induced horizontal advection term (SODAadv: gray; OFESadv: blue; GODASadv: magenta; ROMSadv: red; HYCOMadv: black). (c) Vertical entrainment term. (d) Mixed layer salinity anomaly variation, and the sum of the freshwater flux, horizontal advection, and vertical entrainment terms.
Figure 14. Schematic diagram of the salinification (a) and freshening (b) periods in the SCS.
Figure 15. Time series of yearly (a) mixed layer density, (b) mixed layer depth, and (c) BLT averaged in the SCS. Error bars are shown in light shading. The gray shaded areas indicate turning points in 1974, 1993, and 2012.